



202 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
 AT CHANDIGARH

CWP-1839-2001 (O & M)
Date of decision: 02.09.2025

IQBAL SINGH

...PETITIONER

V/S

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS

...RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARPREET SINGH BRAR

Present: Mr. P.P.S. Duggal, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. T.P.S. Walia, AAG, Punjab.

Mr. Triptjeet Singh Sidhu, Advocate for respondent Nos.2 and 3.

HARPREET SINGH BRAR, J. (ORAL)

1. Prayer in the present petition filed under Articles 226/227 of Constitution of India is for issuance of direction to quash impugned order (Annexure P-1).

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner *inter alia* contends that controversy involved in the present case is squarely covered by the judgment rendered by this Court in case bearing **CWP No.27725 of 2013** titled as **“Punjab State Warehousing Corporation Field Employees Union vs. Union of India and others”** decided on 14.05.2025. He submits that the issue regarding the loss suffered by the procurement agencies with regard to certain weight gain and shortage in the foodgrain while being in storage has been dealt with in *extenso* and it was ordered that all pending cases with regard to punishment or pending proceedings initiated on account of weight gain or shortage in the stored foodgrain has to be considered in terms of the norms settled in the letter dated 30.05.2022.



3. Learned counsel for respondent-corporation could not controvert the fact that the issue involved in the present case has been decided by the judgment cited by learned counsel for the petitioner and the respondent-corporation would not be averse to examine the case of the petitioner in terms of the aforementioned judgment.

4. In view of the above, the present petition is disposed of with direction to learned counsel for the petitioner to represent before the respondent-corporation within a period of two months from the date of passing of this order. Liberty is granted to the petitioner to file a detailed representation in terms of the letter dated 30.05.2022 and same shall be looked into by the respondent-corporation strictly in terms of the order passed by this Court in *CWP No.27725 of 2013*.

5. It is made clear that till the said claim is decided by the authorities concerned, no coercive action shall be taken against the petitioners.

6. Disposed of accordingly.

7. Pending miscellaneous application(s), if any, also stand(s) disposed of.

September 02, 2025
manisha

(HARPREET SINGH BRAR)
JUDGE

(i)	Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
(ii)	Whether reportable	Yes/No