



109

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRWP-3686-2025
Date of decision: 15.04.2025**

SEWAK SINGH AND ANOTHER

...Petitioners

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASGURPREET SINGH PURI

Present:- Mr. Prince Sharma, Advocate for the petitioners.

JASGURPREET SINGH PURI, J. (Oral)

1. The present petition has been filed seeking protection of life and liberty of the petitioners at the hands of private respondents No.4 to 7 and their other relatives.

2. Petitioner No.1 (boy) is of the age of 33 years, whereas petitioner No.2 (girl) is of the age of 26 years.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that petitioner No.1 is already married but a panchayati divorce has taken place between petitioner No.1 and his wife. He further submitted that petitioner No.1 neither has any threat from his wife nor she has been impleaded as party in the present petition. He further submitted that petitioner No.1 and his wife have already decided to file a petition under Section 13-B of the Hindu Marriage Act for grant of divorce by way of mutual consent but in the meantime, petitioner No.1 is now residing in live-in-relationship with petitioner No.2 and they are



apprehending threat to their lives and liberty at the hands of private respondents No.4 to 7 because they want petitioner No.2 to get married to somebody else.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioners also submitted that scope of the present petition pertains only to the protection of lives of the petitioners. He further submitted that the petitioners have submitted a representation dated 05.04.2025 (Annexure P-3) to respondents No.2 and 3 but no action has been taken on the same.

5. Heard. Record perused.

6. Notice of motion to respondents No.1, 2 and 3 only.

7. Mr. Surya Kumar, AAG, Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of the aforesaid respondents. Copy stands supplied.

8. This Court does not wish to make any observations with regard to the validity of *inter se* relationship between the petitioners. However, since both the petitioners are stated to be residing in live-in relationship, the scope of present petition pertains only to protection of their lives.

9. The present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2-Senior Superintendent of Police, Tarn Taran that representation dated 05.04.2025 (Annexure P-3) filed seeking protection of life and liberty of the petitioners, be looked into and contents thereof be duly verified and if necessary, requisite steps be taken, strictly in accordance with law for ensuring the protection of life of the petitioners.

(JASGURPREET SINGH PURI)
JUDGE

15.04.2025
Chetan Thakur

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No