IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

120 **CWP-10663-2025**
Date of Decision: 06.05.2025

Jasvir Singh and another **…Petitioner(s)**

Versus

State of Haryana and another **…Respondent(s)**

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE TRIBHUVAN DAHIYA

Present:- Mr. Rajender Sorout, Advocate for
Mr.Vikram Sheoran, Advocate for the petitioners

Ms. Tanushree Gupta, Deputy Advocate General, Haryana

TRIBHUVAN DAHIYA, J. (Oral)

The petition has been filed *inter alia* seeking a writ of *mandamus* directing the respondents to fill-up vacant post of PTI as per the final result dated 13.12.2022, Annexure P-5, and consider the petitioner for appointment as a General category candidate for the post in response to advertisement 06 of 2023.

2. Learned State counsel, on instructions received from the Commission vide letter dated 05.05.2025, contends that the petitioner was duly considered for appointment, but secured ninety-six marks in the written examination as against the cut-off of hundred marks in General category. On this account, he was not called for interview. A copy of the letter/instructions is retained on the case file as Annexure ‘A’.

3. In view thereof, there is no ground to entertain the petition, and it stands dismissed.

(TRIBHUVAN DAHIYA)
JUDGE

06.05.2025
Payal

Whether speaking/reasoned Yes/No

Whether reportable Yes/No

PAYAL
2025.05.07 10:19
I attest to the accuracy and
integrity of this order/judgment