



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

(106)

CRWP-3696-2025

Date of Decision:-**15.04.2025**

PAWANDEEP KAUR AND ANOTHER

... Petitioners

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS

... Respondents

-.-

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ALOK JAIN

Present:- Mr. Raj Kaushik, Advocate
for the petitioners.

ALOK JAIN, J. (Oral)

1. The present petition has been filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India for issuance of a writ in the nature of *mandamus* directing the official respondents to protect the life and liberty of the petitioners, who got married on **04.04.2025**, at the hands of private respondents.
2. Notice of motion to the official respondents.
3. Mr. Puru Jarewal, AAG, Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of the official respondents.
4. Considering the nature of the order being passed, there is no necessity to serve the private respondent(s) or to seek a reply from any one of the respondents.
5. The petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No. 2- Superintendent of Police, Bathinda to take into consideration the request of



the petitioners made vide representation dated **05.04.2025 (Annexure P-4)** and take appropriate action, in accordance with law.

6. This petition is being considered only for the purpose of protection of life and liberty of the petitioners and the filing, pendency or the orders passed by this Court in this petition shall neither be construed as any stamp of the Court qua the relationship/marriage of the petitioners nor shall be relied upon by any authority in any proceedings initiated against them under any other provision of law.

7. This order shall not operate as an alibi or defence to any proceedings which might be pending or may arise against the petitioners. Although, the State is duty bound to protect its citizens and only with the said motive, the present order/directions have been passed.

8. It is made clear that the filing of this petition and any order passed herein does not approve or disapprove the relationship of the petitioners and is not a stamp by the Court qua their relationship, as this petition is considered only for the purpose of ensuring the protection of life and liberty of the petitioners being citizens of this Country and for no other reason.

9. It is made clear to the petitioners that, in case, any averment made in this petition or in the representation dated **05.04.2025 (Annexure P-4)** is found to be incorrect, the State authorities shall initiate strict criminal proceedings against the petitioners.

10. However, since this petition is being disposed of in *limine*, therefore, copy of the complete paper book be sent through registered post to respondent No.4 being father of petitioner No. 1 as there is serious doubt



with regard to them being even aware about the act and conduct of petitioner No.1.

11. It is also made clear that, in case, there is any criminal record of the petitioners, the present order shall not be an alibi or an impediment against the authorities to proceed in accordance with law and the above order is subject to cross verification of the credentials of the petitioners and cogent proof to be submitted by the petitioners before the authorities considering their representation.

15.04.2025
Gaurav Sorot

(ALOK JAIN)
JUDGE

Whether reasoned / speaking?	Yes / No
Whether reportable?	Yes / No