



212-2 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
 AT CHANDIGARH

CWP-9673-2023

Date of Decision: 09.07.2025

Raj Kumar And Others

...Petitioners

Vs.

State of Haryana and Others

...Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JAGMOHAN BANSAL

Present:- Mr. Arihant Goyal, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Raman Sharma, Addl. A.G., Haryana.

Mr. Prateek Mahajan, Advocate and
Mr. Mayank Vashishth, Advocate for respondents No.2 to 9.

JAGMOHAN BANSAL, J. (ORAL)

1. The petitioner through instant petition under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India is seeking direction to respondent to grant 2nd ACP at par with similarly situated employees.

2. The petitioner is claiming benefit of 2nd ACP on the basis of judgment of this Court in ***CWP No.6225 of 2013*** titled as '***Roshan Lal and Others Vs. Haryana Vidyut Prasaran Nigam Limited and Others***', decided on 09.02.2016.

3. Learned counsel for the respondents, at the outset, submits that petitioners have been granted two promotions during the period in question, thus, they are not eligible for ACP.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioners expressed his inability to controvert the aforesaid fact, however, submits that he may be granted

liberty to move an appropriate application if the said fact is found incorrect.

5. In the wake of statement of both sides, the present petition stands disposed of with aforesaid liberty.

(JAGMOHAN BANSAL)
JUDGE

09.07.2025
Prince Chawla

Whether Speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether Reportable:	Yes/No