



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP-10446-2025

Date of Decision: 09.04.2025

Ram Singh

.....Petitioner(s)

Versus

State of Punjab and others

....Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JAGMOHAN BANSAL

Present: Mr. Deepak Aggarwal, Advocate,
for Mr. Veneet Sharma, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Aman Dhir, DAG, Punjab.

JAGMOHAN BANSAL, J. (Oral)

1. The petitioner through instant petition under Articles 226 and 227 of the Constitution of India is seeking setting aside of communication dated 28.09.2024 whereby respondent has rejected his request for release of retiral dues and change of nominee.

2. The petitioner joined Punjab Police Force on 15.05.1992. In 2024, he decided to seek voluntary retirement. At that point of time, he was holding rank of ASI. His application was acceded by competent authority and he was relieved. He filed representation dated 13.08.2024 seeking release of his retiral dues and change of nominee. As per office record, his wife and two children are nominees. He is having matrimonial dispute. His wife and both children are



staying separate. Family Court has awarded maintenance of Rs.20,000/- per month to wife.

3. Mr. Deepak Aggarwal, at the outset, submits that he does not press his prayer *qua* change of nominee and would avail remedy as permissible by law, however, respondents may be directed to release his retiral dues.

4. Mr. Aman Dhir, DAG, Punjab, who on advance notice is present in Court, submits that respondent had received order from Family Court and in compliance of said order, a sum of Rs.4,50,000/- was deducted from retiral dues and forwarded to petitioner’s wife. The competent authority has no objection to release his remaining dues in accordance with law.

5. In the wake of statement of both sides, instant petition stands disposed of with a direction to respondent to release petitioner’s dues, in accordance with law, within 3 months from today. The respondent would be at liberty to withhold money as directed by Court of competent jurisdiction on account of litigation pending between the petitioner and his wife. He would be at liberty to avail remedy as permissible by law *qua* his request to change nominee.

09.04.2025

(JAGMOHAN BANSAL)

shivani

JUDGE

Whether reasoned/speaking

Yes

Whether reportable

No