



281 **IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-22274-2024 (O&M)

Date of Decision: **27.02.2025**

Ajay and others

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and another

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE H.S. GREWAL

Present: Mr. Manmeet Bindra, Advocate for
Ms. Pooja Jaglan, Advocate, for the petitioners.

Mr. Aditya Pal Singla, AAG, Haryana.

Ms. Mansi, Advocate for
Mr. Nagesh Paul, Advocate, for respondent No.2.

H.S. GREWAL, J. (ORAL)

1. This petition has been filed under Section 482 of the Code of Criminal Procedure seeking quashing of FIR No.92 dated 23.03.2024 (Annexure P-1) under Sections 420/120-B of IPC, 1860 registered at Police Station Sector 13-17, Panipat, District Panipat and all subsequent proceedings arising therefrom in view of the compromise dated 10.04.2024 (Annexure P-2).

2. Vide order dated 06.05.2024, passed by the Coordinate Bench, parties were directed to appear before the concerned trial Court for recording of their statements with regard to the compromise.

3. In compliance of the above order, a report has been received from the concerned jurisdictional Court that the compromise between the parties is genuine and arrived at without any pressure or coercion from anyone. Reply dated 29.07.2024 has already been filed by the State by way of affidavit of Mr. Krishan Kumar, HPS, Deputy Superintendent of Police, CAW, Panipat, which is taken on record.

4. Learned counsel for respondent No.2 confirms the factum of the compromise having been effected between the parties and states that respondent No.2 has no objection to the quashing of the FIR in question.
5. Learned State counsel states that no other FIR has been registered against the petitioners except petitioner No.1, who is involved in one case.
6. In that view of the matter, even if this case is taken to its logical conclusion, it would only be an exercise in futility as the parties have already settled their differences. Therefore, no purpose would be served in wasting the time and resources of the State in pursuing this case to its pre-ordained end. It would, therefore, be better to give a quietus to the issue at this stage.
7. Accordingly, the petition is allowed and FIR No.92 dated 23.03.2024 (Annexure P-1) under Sections 420/120-B of IPC, 1860 registered at Police Station Sector 13-17, Panipat, District Panipat and all other consequential proceedings arising therefrom shall stand quashed, qua the petitioners.

27.02.2025
Parveen kumar

(H.S. GREWAL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned :Yes/No
Whether reportable :Yes/No