



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

224

CRM-M-20169-2025(O&M)
Date of decision: 16.07.2025

SANDIP SINGH ALIAS SANDEEP SINGH

... Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB

.. Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE H.S. GREWAL

Present:- Mr. Karan Bhardwaj, Advocate
for the petitioner.

H.S. Grewal, J. (Oral)

1. The present petition has been filed under Section 483 of BNSS 2023 seeking regular bail in FIR No.153 dated 27.06.2023 under Sections 302, 120-B of IPC, 1860 (201 IPC added later on) and Sections 25, 27, 54 and 59 of Arms Act, 1959 registered at Police Station Jandiala, District Amritsar (Rural).

2. The case of the prosecution is that a complaint was made by one Jodhbir Singh wherein it is alleged that Kanwaljit Singh, the brother of deceased Gursewak Singh informed that some unknown persons have fired a shot at Gursewak Singh who was taken to Civil Hospital and thereafter, was declared brought dead.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that no overt role has been attributed to the present petitioner and the petitioner is not even related to the deceased or with the main accused. He further states that the petitioner has



been in custody since 27.06.2023. He further states that one of the co-accused namely Gurmehakdeep Singh has been granted regular bail vide order dated 02.12.2024 (Annexure P-4) passed by a Coordinate Bench of this Court in CRM-M-1601-2024.

4. Notice of motion.

5. Mr. Amandeep Singh Samra, AAG, Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State.

6. Learned counsel for the State vehemently opposes the grant of concession of regular bail by way of filing of custody certificate dated 15.07.2025 and further states that the petitioner is in custody for the last 02 years, and 13 days and 02 out of 18 prosecution witnesses have been examined.

7. I have heard learned counsel for the parties and have gone through the material placed on record.

8. Keeping in view the facts and circumstances of the present case, and the fact that the petitioner is in custody for the last 02 years, and 13 days and only 02 out of 18 prosecution witnesses have been examined so far, and also the fact that similarly placed co-accused has already been granted bail, as such, further incarceration of the petitioner would not serve the ends of justice, therefore, this Court deems it fit to grant the concession of regular bail to the petitioner.

7. Therefore, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, the instant petition is allowed. The petitioner is granted concession of regular bail in the present case, on his furnishing requisite bonds to the satisfaction of the trial Court/Duty Magistrate/ Chief Judicial Magistrate concerned. The pending applications, if any, also stand disposed of.



8. It is however, made clear that in case during his bail, the petitioner indulges in any offence, the State shall be at liberty to file an application for cancellation of bail of the petitioner.

16th July, 2025

Sonia Puri

(H.S. GREWAL)

JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	:	Yes/No