



(105)

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

RSA-3347-2004 (O&M)
Date of Decision:- 18.11.2025

Jagmohan and others
Versus
Shammi Sethi and others
...Appellant(s)
...Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON’BLE MR. JUSTICE VIRINDER AGGARWAL

Present:- Mr. Varun Parkash, Advocate,
for the appellants.
Mr. Sandeep Bansal, Advocate,
for respondents No. 1 and 2.
* * * *

VIRINDER AGGARWAL, J. (ORAL)

1. Learned counsel for the appellants submits that the appellants are not coming forward to provide the correct addresses of respondents No. 3 to 5 inspite of the best efforts made by learned counsel. It seems that the appellants are not interested in further pursuing the appeal.
2. In such circumstances, the present appeal is dismissed for want of prosecution with liberty to the appellants to move an application for revival of the appeal within a period of three months in case they are interested.
3. The appeal accordingly stands dismissed for want of prosecution with the aforesaid liberty.

(VIRINDER AGGARWAL)
JUDGE

18.11.2025
Amodh Sharma

Whether speaking/reasoned	√ Yes/No
Whether reportable	√ Yes/No