



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

236/2

CRM-M-22118-2024 (O&M)

Date of decision: 21.05.2025

RINKU SINGH @ TANU

....Petitioner(s)

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB

...Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJIV BERRY

Present : Mr. Satbir Singh Kanwar, Advocate for
Mr. Dhiraj Jindal, Advocate for the petitioner(s).

Mr. K.D. Sachdeva, DAG Punjab.

SANJIV BERRY. J. (Oral)

After arguing the matter at some length, learned counsel for the petitioner prays for withdrawal of the petition at this stage. However, has requested that keeping in view the custody of the petitioner, the learned trial Court may be asked to expedite the trial.

In view of the statement, petition stands dismissed as withdrawn at this stage.

However, considering the fact that the petitioner is in custody since long and the trial is moving at a snail's pace, the learned trial Court is directed to expedite the trial by giving short adjournments and to make earnest efforts to conclude the trial expeditiously, preferably within a period of 6 months from today.

The Senior Superintendent of Police, Sangrur is also directed to ensure the presence of the witnesses before the learned trial Court, in pursuance to the summons so issued by it, by deputing a responsible Gazetted Officer for this purpose.

(SANJIV BERRY)
JUDGE

21.05.2025
S.Sharma(syr)

Whether speaking/reasoned : *Yes/No*

Whether reportable : *Yes/ No*