

CRM-M-19645 of 2025

2025:PHHC:053061



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-19645 of 2025

Date of decision: 25.04.2025

Mithan @ Mithu

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE NAMIT KUMAR

Present: - Ms. Ravisha Mahajan, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Yuvraj Singh Tiwana, AAG, Punjab.

NAMIT KUMAR, J. (ORAL)

1. The petitioner has filed the instant petition under Section 482 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 for grant of anticipatory bail in case FIR No.05 dated 29.01.2025 registered under Sections 118(1), 117(2), 74, 191(3) & 190 of Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023 at Police Station Khem Karan, District Tarn Taran.

2. On the last date of hearing i.e. 08.04.2025, the following order was passed: -

“The petitioner has filed the instant petition under Section 482 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 for grant of anticipatory bail in case FIR No.05 dated 29.01.2025 registered under Sections 118(1), 117(2), 74, 191(3) & 190 of Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023 at Police Station Khem Karan, District Tarn Taran.

On receipt of advance copy of the petition, Mr. Anup Singh, A.A.G., Punjab has put in appearance on

2025.PHHC:053061



behalf of the respondent-State and on instructions, submits that the petitioner is involved in 02 other cases i.e. FIR No.36 dated 28.06.2016 registered under Sections 380 & 411 of IPC at Police Station Khem Karan, District Tarn Taran and FIR No.64 dated 05.10.2018 registered under Sections 326, 342, 34 and 506 of IPC at Police Station Khem Karan, District Tarn Taran, however, the same have not been disclosed in the present petition. He further submits that even the complainant has also not been made party-respondent in the present petition.

Faced with this situation, learned counsel for the petitioner seeks an adjournment to get instructions.

Adjourned to 25.04.2025.”

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner wishes to withdraw the present petition with liberty to file fresh one on the same cause of action with better particulars by impleading the complainant as a party-respondent.

4. Dismissed as withdrawn with aforesaid liberty.

(NAMIT KUMAR)
JUDGE

25.04.2025
R.S.

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether Reportable : Yes/No