



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH
214

CRM-M-19819-2025 (O&M)
Date of decision: 15.05.2025

Sahid
State of Haryana
Versus
....Petitioner
....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARPREET SINGH BRAR

Present: Mr. S.K. Bawa, Advocate
for the petitioner.
Mr. Vikas Bhardwaj, AAG, Haryana.

HARPREET SINGH BRAR J. (Oral)

1. This petition has been filed under Section 482 of BNSS, 2023, seeking anticipatory bail in case FIR No.211 dated 15.12.2023 under Sections 148, 149, 186, 332, 353, 379, 188, 307 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860, Section 21(1) of Mines and Minerals (Regulation and Development) Act, 1957 and Section 3 of Prevention of Damage to Public Property Act, 1984, registered at Police Station Bichhor, District Nuh.

2. On 09.04.2025, the following order was passed:-

“XX XX XX XX
Learned counsel for the petitioner, inter alia, contends that the petitioner is a whistle blower and he made a call to the jurisdictional police on police helpline No.112 and also prepared the videos, in which, some persons are seen excavating the minerals and to save the real culprits, the police has registered a false FIR against



the petitioner. The local police is complicit in illegal mining and the petitioner has been falsely implicated in one more case in order to restrain him from making complaints against the real culprits.

Notice of motion for 09.05.2025.

*Keeping in view the ratio of law enunciated by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in **Satender Kumar Antil Vs. CBI (2022) 10 SCC 51; Siddharam Satlingappa Mhetre Vs. State of Maharashtra and others 2010 SCC OnLine SC 137; Gurbaksh Singh Sibbia etc. Vs. State of Punjab (1980) 2 SCC 565, Arnesh Kumar Vs. State of Bihar (2014) 8 SCC 273 and Sushila Aggarwal Vs. State of NCT Delhi 2020 (1) RCR (Criminal) 833**, the petitioner is directed to appear before Investigating Officer within a period of two weeks from today and thereafter, as directed by the Investigating Officer. In the event of arrest, the petitioner will be admitted to interim anticipatory bail on furnishing bail/surety bonds to the satisfaction of Investigating/Arresting Officer. The petitioner shall cooperate with the investigation/Arresting Officer and abide by the conditions as provided under Section 482(2) of BNSS (erstwhile Section 438(2) of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973).*

If the Arresting Officer does not permit the petitioner to join the investigation, he would appear before learned Illaqa Magistrate, who would then summon the Arresting Officer and direct him to join the petitioner in the investigation, in terms of the order of this Court.

Nothing observed hereinabove shall be construed as an expression of opinion by this Court and learned trial Court shall decide the case on its own merits, strictly in accordance with law.”



3. Learned State counsel, on instructions from ASI Vijay Pal, at the very outset, informs the Court that the petitioner has joined the investigation and his custodial interrogation is not required.
4. In view of the statement of learned State counsel, order dated 09.04.2025 is hereby made absolute. The petitioner shall abide by the terms and conditions envisaged under Section 482(2) of BNSS (*erstwhile Section 438(2) of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973*).
5. The petition stands disposed of.

(HARPREET SINGH BRAR)
JUDGE

15.05.2025
yakub

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether reportable:	Yes/No