



238 CRM-A-1058-2025

NATHU RAM
V/S
STATE OF PUNJAB AND ANOTHER

Present: Ms. Pavneet Kaur, Advocate for
Mr. Ashok Kumar Khunger, Advocate
for the applicant-appellant.

Ms. Pooja Nayar Sharma, DAG, Punjab.

Ms. Neha Randhawa, Advocate for
Mr. Rhythem Bajaj, Advocate for
Respondent No.2.

Service is complete.

Counsel appearing for the applicant submits that the present application was filed against acquittal in the instant year and they have a very good case on merit and there is enough evidence for conviction of respondent No.2 on record and prayed for grant of leave.

Counsel for the respondents oppose the prayer.

Heard.

Leave to appeal granted.

Registry to assign number to the main appeal.

MAIN APPEAL

Heard.

Admitted.

The accused-respondent No.2 to execute a bond for attendance within two months, undertaking to appear in this Court, in case appeal is allowed.

Given above, the accused-respondent No.2 shall furnish a personal bond of Rs. Twenty Five Thousand only (INR 25,000/-), and furnishing one surety for Rs. Twenty Five thousand only (INR 25,000/-), to the satisfaction of the concerned Trial Court/Duty Magistrate. Before accepting the sureties, the concerned Court must satisfy that if the accused-respondent No.2 fails to appear in Court, then such surety is capable of producing him before the Court.

The furnishing of the personal bonds shall be deemed acceptance of all stipulations, terms, and conditions of this bail order.

Registry to communicate this order to the trial Court without any delay. In case of failure of accused-respondent No.2 to furnish bond(s), the concerned Court shall proceed further in accordance with law.

There would be no need for a certified copy of this order for furnishing bonds, and any Advocate for the accused can download this order along with case status from the official web page of this Court and attest it to be a true copy. In case the attesting officer wants to verify the authenticity, such an officer can also verify its authenticity and may download and use the downloaded copy for attesting bonds.

It is clarified that pendency of the appeal shall be inconsequential and has no consequences against the accused-respondent No.2.

(ANOOP CHITKARA)
JUDGE

(H.S. GREWAL)
JUDGE

13.11.2025
Rajender