



115-CRWP-3569-2025

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRWP-3569-2025
Date of decision: 09.04.2025**

Payal Kinnar

....Petitioner.

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents.

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJIV BERRY

Present:- Mr. Raj Kumar Saini, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

.....

SANJIV BERRY, J. (ORAL)

This is a petition filed under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India for directions to the official respondents to protect the life and liberty of the petitioner at the hands of private respondents.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner, *inter alia*, contends that the petitioner, feeling aggrieved by the act and conduct of private respondents and apprehending threats to her life and liberty had moved the representation dated 24.03.2025 (Annexure P-11) to the Director General of Police, Haryana, Sector 6, Panchkula, and Superintendent of Police, Rohtak, District Rohtak, but till date no action thereon has been taken. He further submits that for the purpose of the petition, the petitioner will be satisfied if appropriate directions are given to respondent No.2 to consider and dispose of the aforesaid representation



115-CRWP-3569-2025

dated 24.03.2025 (Annexure P-11) in accordance with law in an expeditious manner.

3. Notice of motion to official respondents.

4. On the asking of the Court, Mr. Arun Kumar Gujjar, AAG, Haryana, who is present in Court, accepts notice on behalf of the official respondents and submits that the aforesaid representation will be disposed of in an expeditious manner in accordance with law.

5. After considering the rival contentions and considering the request made by learned counsel for the petitioner and without commenting on the merits of the case, the petition is disposed of with the direction to respondent No.2- the Director General of Police, Haryana, Sector-6, Panchkula, to consider and dispose of the representation dated 24.03.2025 (Annexure P-11) in accordance with law in an expeditious manner so as to protect the life and liberty of the petitioner and if needed, provide requisite protection to her life and liberty.

6. The decision so taken be intimated to the petitioner.

7. Disposed of.

(SANJIV BERRY)
JUDGE

09.04.2025
preeti

- | | | |
|-----|----------------------------|--------|
| i) | Whether speaking/reasoned? | Yes/No |
| ii) | Whether reportable? | Yes/No |