



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

Sr. No.101

CRWP-3521-2025 (O&M)

Date of decision: 09.04.2025

Satyam Kumar @ Shivam

....Petitioner

Versus

The State of U.T., Chandigarh and others

....Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK SIBAL
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUMEET GOEL**

Present: Mr. Ujwal Anand, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Anil Kumar Lambdharia, Addl. P.P., U.T., Chandigarh
with Ms. Komal Kumar, Advocate and
Ms. Roopse Sharma, Advocate.

* * *

DEEPAK SIBAL, J. (Oral)

1. At the outset, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that he restricts his prayer to the issuance of directions to respondent No.1 to finally dispose of the petitioner's application dated 28.06.2024 for grant of parole as the same has been pending decision since June, 2024.
2. Learned counsel for the U.T. has no objection to the acceptance of the afore alternate prayer made on behalf of the petitioner.
3. After hearing learned counsel for the parties and going through the record, we find that the decision on the petitioner's request for grant of parole has been unnecessarily delayed by the State which is also against the spirit behind Section 3 of the Punjab Good Conduct Prisoners (Temporary Release) Act, 1962.



CRWP-3521-2025 (O&M)

-2-

4. In the light of the above, we dispose of the instant petition with a direction to respondent No.1 to take a final decision on the petitioner’s request made in June, 2024 for the grant of parole within one month from the date of receipt of a copy of this order, in accordance with law.

(DEEPAK SIBAL)
JUDGE

(SUMEET GOEL)
JUDGE

April 09, 2025
Jyoti 1

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No