



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP-10729-2025

Date of Decision : 21.04.2025

MEHAK VASISTHA

.....Petitioner

VERSUS

CHANDIGARH ADMINISTRATION AND OTHERS

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDEEP TIWARI

Present : Mr. Sanjeev Sharma, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Maheshinder Singh Sidhu, Addl.Standing Counsel with
Mr. Deepak Malhotra, Panel Counsel,
for the respondents no.1 to 3-UT, Chandigarh.

KULDEEP TIWARI, J.(Oral)

1. Through the instant writ petition filed under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India, a challenge is thrown to the letter dated 03.01.2025 (Annexure P-14), whereby, the application of the present petitioner for registration of the decree dated 14.12.2023 (Annexure P-2), passed in RSA bearing No.6248-2018, for the purpose of transfer of half share in the name of the present petitioner in terms of the said decree, has been declined.

2. During the course of arguments, this Court has put a specific query to learned counsel for the petitioner, as to whether, any execution application has been filed by the petitioner, for the purpose of execution

of the decree (*supra*), to which he fairly concedes and answered in 'negative'

3. Therefore, at this stage, this Court is not inclined to grant the asked for relief to the present petitioner, instead, prefers to relegate her to the executing court concerned, for redressal of her grievance.

4. Faced with the above situation, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that he does not want to press the instant petition, at this stage, with liberty to take the remedy in accordance with law.

5. **Dismissed, as not pressed**, at this stage, with liberty to approach the executing court concerned.

January 21, 2025

(KULDEEP TIWARI)
JUDGE

dharamvir

Whether speaking/reasoned.	:	Yes/No
Whether Reportable.	:	Yes/No