



CWP-11927-2001 (O&M)

-1-

201 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
 AT CHANDIGARH

CWP-11927-2001 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 23.07.2025

ASI SURINDER PAL 804/GGN,POLICE TRG. Coll

.....Petitioner

Vs.

State of Haryana and Ors.

...Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JAGMOHAN BANSAL

Present:- Mr. Sahil Mehra, Advocate for
 Mr. Nipun Vashist, Advocate for the petitioner

Ms. Rajni Gupta, Addl. A.G. Haryana

JAGMOHAN BANSAL, J. (ORAL)

1. The petitioner through instant petition under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India is primarily claiming that he should be allowed to continue with CID and he should not be repatriated to his parent department.

2. This Court vide order dated 24.10.2002 stayed the impugned order dated 30.07.2002. The order dated 24.10.2022 reads as under:-

“The writ petition was admitted, vide order dated 10.10.2002. Notice regarding stay was issued.

Shri I.S. Balhara, the learned counsel for the petitioner has pointed out that similar matters, as the

**CWP-11927-2001 (O&M)****-2-**

present case, are already admitted and in all those cases, an interim order has been issued by this Court. This fact is not disputed by Shri Rajbir Sehrawat, who merely points out that the impugned order in the case of the petitioner has already been implemented.

After hearing the learned counsel for the parties and going through the record of the case, I stay the operation of the order dated 30.7.2002, Annexure P-1. Consequently, the respondents would put back the petitioner on the post of ASI, CID, forthwith.”

3. Ms. Rajni Gupta, Additional A.G. Haryana submits that the petitioner has retired, thus, the instant petition has rendered infructuous.

4. Disposed of.

5. The order dated 24.10.2002 of this Court is hereby made absolute.

(JAGMOHAN BANSAL)
JUDGE

23.07.2025
Deepak DPA

Whether Speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No