



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

204

RSA-1994-2001 (O & M)
Date of Decision:15.05.2025

DEV RAJ

...APPELLANT

VERSUS

NARATA RAM GOYAL

...RESPONDENT

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUVIR SEHGAL

Present: None.

SUVIR SEHGAL, J.(ORAL)

- 1. Counsel for the appellant has unfortunately expired. Pursuant to notice, appellant has been served. However, there is no representation on his behalf.
- 2. As per office report, sole respondent has also expired. It seems that the parties are not interested in pursuing the appeal.
- 3. Dismissed for default in prosecution.
- 4. Pending application is disposed off.

15.05.2025
sheetal

(SUVIR SEHGAL)
JUDGE

Whether Speaking/Reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No