



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

305

CRM-M-21908-2024
Date of decision: February 19th, 2025

Bhim Singh and others
.....Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and another
.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANJARI NEHRU KAUL

Present: Mr. Pradeep Chhoker, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Yuvraj Shandilya, Assistant Advocate General,
Haryana.

Mr. Baljeet Nain, Advocate
for respondent No.2.

MANJARI NEHRU KAUL, J. (ORAL)

At the outset, learned State counsel has vehemently opposed the prayer made by the petitioners for quashing of the FIR on the basis of a compromise. It has been asserted by the learned State counsel, on instructions, that the petitioners are seeking quashing of FIR only qua them to the exclusion of other accused; hence, it is a case of partial quashing, which is contrary to the settled ratio of law as laid down by a Division Bench of this Court in *CRM-M No.48043 of 2023* titled as *Rakesh Das Versus State of Haryana and another*, decided on 12.11.2024 that partial quashing of an FIR is not maintainable.

Accordingly, the instant petition stands dismissed.

February 19th, 2025
Puneet

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No

(MANJARI NEHRU KAUL)
JUDGE