



CRM-M-19750-2025

1

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

120

CRM-M-19750-2025

Decided on:06.08.2025

Madhu Bala alias Jashan**.....Petitioner****Versus****State of Punjab and others****.....Respondent****CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY VASHISTH**

Present: Mr. V.K. Pujara, Advocate for
Mr. Chandan Singh Rana, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Neeraj Madaan, Sr. DAG, Punjab.

SANJAY VASHISTH, J.

1. Present petition has been filed under Section 528 of BNSS, 2023, seeking issuance of directions to respondent No.2 to consider and decide the representation dated 21.01.2025(P-2).

2. A written request for an adjournment has been moved on behalf of learned counsel for the petitioner.

3. On 24.04.2025, following order was passed:

“1. Present petition has been filed under Section 528 of BNSS, 2023 seeking issuance of directions to respondent No.2 to consider and decide the representation dated 21.01.2025 (P-2).

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that through property dealers Parminder Sood, Khan Mohammad (Hussain Mohammad) and Harman Singh (respondents No. 7,8 and 9 respectively) petitioner had purchased a flat from Vishal Chandel and Neha Chandel (respondents No. 5 and 6 respectively). Petitioner transferred amount of Rs.14 lacs in the bank account of respondent No.6 by way of google pay and thereupon by putting forged signatures of Neha Chandel a sale deed dated



CRM-M-19750-2025

2

09.02.2024 is shown to be executed in favour of the petitioner. Counsel also submits that petitioner has been defrauded and despite of receiving the complete sale consideration, a forged sale deed has been handed over to her.

Counsel further submits that despite of bringing the said fact to the notice of official respondents, no action has been taken as per law.

3. Mr. Amandeep Singh, DAG, Punjab, appears on advance notice, seeks some time to file status report in regard to the submissions addressed by counsel for the petitioner.

4. List on 02.07.2025.

5. To be shown in the urgent list.

4. Learned State counsel has filed status report by way of an affidavit of Harsimrat Singh, PPS Deputy Superintendent of Police (Hq.), District SAS Nagar, in Court today. Same is taken on record. Registry is directed to tag the same at appropriate place with the paper-book.

Learned State Counsel read out paragraphs 6 and 7 of the status report and submitted that no substance was found in the petitioner's representation/complaint. Accordingly, after due examination, same has been consigned to the record room. For reference, paragraphs 6 and 7 are reproduced below:

“6. That during enquiry, no credible or conclusive evidence was found or has been presented by the petitioner to support allegations leveled against respondent Nos.05 to 09. The petitioner has failed to produce any documentary proof or substantive material to corroborate her claims. The dispute, on the face of record appeared civil in nature and no cognizable offence was disclosed which may warrant



CRM-M-19750-2025

3

police intervention. The petitioner has already taken appropriate steps and approached electircity department for redressal of her grievance regarding change of name of electricity connection in her name. Additionally, the respondents No. 05 to 06, have given assurance that they shall make available all necessary documents and render full cooperation to facilitate transfer of electricity connection in the name of the petitioner.

7. That in light of aforesaid facts and circumstances, the Incharge, EOW, SAS Nagar-respondent No.04, vide report dated 04.07.2025, has made recommendation for filing of aforesaid complaints. The said recommendation made was duly verified by DSP (Hq.), SAS Nagar i.e. deponent and subsequently, report prepared by DSP (Hq.), SAS Nagar, dated 04.07.2025 was approved by office of SSP, SAS Nagar. True translated copy of report dated 04.07.2025 is annexed herewith as Annexure R-1/T for kind consideration of this Hon'ble Court."

5. In view of the aforementioned decision taken by the official respondents, which has been presented before this Court and noticed hereabove, this Court finds no merit in keeping the petition pending. Although, an adjournment slip has been filed, the present petition is hereby dismissed, as no ground is made out for issuing any specific directions as prayed for by the petitioner.

(SANJAY VASHISTH)
JUDGE

August 06, 2025
rashmi

Whether Speaking/Reasoned: **YES/NO**
Whether Reportable: **YES/NO**