



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP-10163-2025

Date of Decision: 26.05.2025

Som Dutt

.....Petitioner(s)

Versus

The Registrar, Cooperative Societies, Haryana and others

....Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JAGMOHAN BANSAL

Present: Mr. Rajeev Sharma (Raju), Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Ms. Rajni Gupta, Addl. A.G., Haryana.

Mr. U.K. Agnihotri, Mr. Anuj Y. Attri,
and Ms. Anshul Agnihotri, Advocates, for respondent No.3.

JAGMOHAN BANSAL, J. (Oral)

1. The petitioner through instant petition under Articles 226 and 227 of the Constitution of India is seeking setting aside of order dated 02.04.2025 (Annexure P-1) whereby he has been suspended.

2. The petitioner is assailing suspension order dated 02.04.2025 on the ground that it has been signed by 4 members of the Manging Committee whereas it should be signed by all the members of the Managing Committee or by as many members as are authorized by the Managing Committee.

3. Mr. U.K. Agnihotri, Advocate for respondent No.3, during the course of hearing, produced notice dated 24.03.2025 whereby it was decided to call an urgent meeting to consider case of petitioner. A meeting was held on 02.04.2025



wherein case of petitioner was considered and was ordered to be placed under suspension. The meeting was attended by 4 members and they have recorded that the quorum was complete. They unanimously decided to suspend the petitioner.

4. The petitioner is claiming that aforesaid documents are outcome of an afterthought and deserve to be ignored.

5. Faced with this, Mr. U.K. Agnihotri submits that petitioner has remedy to assail Resolution of the Managing Committee before Deputy Registrar which is the competent authority to decide genuineness of the documents.

6. The respondent has produced aforesaid documents during the course of hearing which are taken on record. This Court cannot determine genuineness of aforesaid documents.

7. In the wake of statement of Mr. Agnihotri and considering the fact that there are disputed question of facts, this Court finds it appropriate to relegate the petitioner to avail alternative remedy. The Court is sanguine of the fact that if petitioner approaches Appellate Authority, the same would expeditiously consider his case.

8. Disposed of in above terms.

26.05.2025
shivani

(JAGMOHAN BANSAL)
JUDGE

Whether reasoned/speaking
Whether reportable

Yes
No