

2025:PHHC:077298



201/2.

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-19348-2025

Date of decision: 01.07.2025

Vijay Singh

.... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

.... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANJARI NEHRU KAUL

Present: Mr. Rajinder Yadav, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Amit Rana, Senior DAG, Punjab.

MANJARI NEHRU KAUL, J. (ORAL)

1. The petitioner is seeking the concession of anticipatory bail, under Section 482 of BNSS, 2023, in FIR No.55, dated 07.07.2022, under Sections 379-B(2), 149, 506 of IPC and Section 25 of Arms Act, registered at Police Station Ghanie Ke Bangar, Police District Batala.

2. On the last date of hearing i.e. 07.04.2025, while noticing the following submissions made by the learned counsel for the petitioner, this Court had granted the concession of interim bail to the petitioner and asked him to join investigation:-

“Learned counsel for the petitioner, *inter alia*, contends that the petitioner was not named in the FIR in question nor was there any suspicion raised qua his involvement in the occurrence in question. The petitioner instead came to be nominated in the second disclosure statement suffered by co-accused, Ashish Masih, who too was nominated as an accused in a disclosure statement allegedly suffered by co-accused, Rajwinder Singh. It has been submitted that in the disclosure statement, on the basis of which the petitioner has been

nominated as accused, the only role attributed to the petitioner is of being present with the co-accused.

On a pointed query as to whether the petitioner any previous criminal antecedents, learned counsel has replied in the negative.”

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that in compliance of order dated 07.04.2025, petitioner has joined investigation and cooperated with the investigating agency.

4. Learned State counsel, on instructions, does not dispute the factum of the petitioner having joined investigation and cooperated with the investigating agency. He, on further instructions, submits that the petitioner is not required for further investigation much less for his custodial interrogation.

5. In view of the above, the petition is allowed and interim order dated 07.04.2025, is made absolute subject to the conditions laid down in Section 438(2) Cr.P.C./482(2) of BNSS, 2023.

(MANJARI NEHRU KAUL)
JUDGE

July 01, 2025

sanjeev

Whether speaking/reasoned:

Yes/No

Whether reportable:

Yes/No