223 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CRWP-3910-2024
Date of decision: 28.01.2025

RISHABH KANOJIA

...PETITIONER

V/S

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS

...RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARPREET SINGH BRAR

Present: Mr. Naveen Sharma, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Sandeep Kumar, DAG, Punjab.

****

HARPREET SINGH BRAR, J. (ORAL)

1. Present petition has been filed under Article 226 of Constitution of India for issuance of directions to respondent Nos.1 to 3 to take appropriate legal action on the complaint of the petitioner dated 22.04.2024 (Annexure P-3) and to protect the life and liberty of the petitioner from the hands of respondent No.4.

2. Learned State counsel refers to para Nos.6 and 7 of the status report filed on behalf of respondent Nos.1 to 3 and submits that the threat perception of the petitioner was dispassionately assessed and no threat to the life and liberty of the petitioner has been found. Further, learned State counsel submits that the allegations levelled against respondent Nos.4 and 5 are totally misconceived as respondent No.4 i.e. ACP North has been transferred as ACP Traffic, Ludhiana, wayback in the year 2024 and respondent No.5, DIG, Vigilance Bureau has retired on June 30, 2024. As such, apprehension of the petitioner is fanciful and there is no real threat to his life and liberty.

3. In view of the above, present petition stands dismissed.

(HARPREET SINGH BRAR)
JUDGE

January 28, 2025
manisha

(i) Whether speaking/reasoned Yes/No
(ii) Whether reportable Yes/No

MANISHA
2025.01.29 16:37
I attest to the accuracy and
authenticiy of this
order/judgment