



CWP-10777 of 2020 (O&M) 1

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

242

CWP-10777 of 2020 (O&M)
Date of Decision:06.03.2025

Chaman Lal and another

....Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPINDER SINGH NALWA

Present: Mr. Fateh Singh Dhillon, Advocate, for the petitioners.

Mr. Gagneshwar Walia, Addl. A.G. Punjab.

Ms. Kavita Arora, Advocate, for respondent No.3.

DEEPINDER SINGH NALWA, J. (Oral)

1. By way of present writ petition, the petitioners are challenging order dated 15.11.2018 (Annexure P-7) and letter dated 20.06.2018 (Annexure P-8) vide which, the claim of the petitioners for re-designation and placement as Junior Assistants in terms of Notification dated 04.09.2000 has been illegally rejected. It is also prayed that the respondents be directed to revise the pensionary/retiral benefits of the petitioners.

2. A perusal of order dated 23.01.2023 passed by a co-ordinate Bench of this Court would show that an affidavit of the Principal Secretary to Government of Punjab, Department of Local Government, Punjab, dated 10.11.2022 has been filed wherein, it has been mentioned that the petitioners

**CWP-10777 of 2020 (O&M) 2**

have been granted the benefit of re-designation and the arrears of salary for the intervening period has also been paid.

A short affidavit of the Executive Officer, Municipal Council, Qadian, dated 10.05.2024 has also been filed wherein, it has been mentioned that all benefits due which are required to be paid to the petitioners have been released.

3. Perusal of the case file reveals that the separate affidavits have been filed by both the petitioners wherein, it has been mentioned that no dues are pending towards the Nagar Counsel, the same are attached with affidavit dated 10.05.2024, as Annexure A-1 and A-2.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that in light of the affidavits submitted by both the petitioners, nothing survives in the present case and as such the present writ petition has been rendered infructuous.

5. In view of the aforesaid facts, no further order is required to be passed in the present writ petition. If there is any grievance left of the petitioners, the petitioners can approach the respondents for redressal of the same.

6. Dismissed as having been rendered infructuous.

7. Pending application(s), if any, also stand(s) disposed of.

(DEEPINDER SINGH NALWA)
JUDGE

March 06, 2025

dinesh	Whether speaking	:	Yes/No
	Whether reportable	:	Yes/No