



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-20470-2025
DECIDED ON: 08.07.2025**

KESAR SINGH AND ANOTHER **.....PETITIONERS**

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB **.....RESPONDENT**

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY VASHISTH.

Present: None for the petitioner.

Mr. Neeraj Madaan, Sr. DAG, Punjab.

SANJAY VASHISTH, J (ORAL)

1. Present petition has been filed by the petitioners, seeking grant of anticipatory bail in case bearing FIR No.226, dated 05.10.2023, under Section(s) 307, 324, 323, 341, 506, 148, 149 of IPC, registered at Police Station City Sunam, District Sangrur.

2. On 21.04.2025, following order was passed by this Court:-

“1. Present petition has been filed by the petitioners, seeking grant of anticipatory bail in case bearing FIR No.226, dated 05.10.2023, under Section(s) 307, 324, 323, 341, 506, 148, 149 of IPC, registered at Police Station City Sunam, District Sangrur.

2. Counsel for the petitioners prays for grant of some time to place on record the medical evidence, highlighting therein that injuries attributed to petitioner No.2 – Lal Singh, are not serious in nature.

3. List again on 13.05.2025.

4. In the meanwhile, petitioner No.1 – Kesar Singh would not be arrested. However, it would not mean that police would not arrest petitioner No.2.”

3. Today, learned State counsel informs that petitioner No.1 – Kesar Singh is attributed an injury caused by a blunt weapon, which has been declared simple in nature. However, injury attributed to petitioner No.2 – Lal Singh was caused by a sharp-edged weapon and has been declared grievous in nature.

3. In view of this, **present petition is allowed *qua* petitioner No.1 namely Kesar Singh**, and he is directed to join the investigation within two weeks from today, and in the eventuality of the arrest, petitioner No.1 would be released on anticipatory bail, subject to his furnishing bail bonds to the satisfaction of the Arresting Officer. Petitioner No.1 shall also be abide by all the conditions laid down under Section 482(2) of BNSS, 2023 (earlier Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.).

Besides, it is directed that petitioner No.1 would hand over his passport to the Investigating Agency or to Court concerned, if he possesses. Otherwise, would submit an affidavit, disclosing the fact that he does not possess any passport.

It is also directed that before leaving country any time during trial, petitioner No.1 would seek prior permission of the Court.

5. However, **present petition stands dismissed *qua* petitioner No.2 – Lal Singh**.

6. With the terms recorded here above, present petition stands disposed of.

08.07.2025

Lavisha

**(SANJAY VASHISTH)
JUDGE**

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether reportable</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>