



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

236

CWP-11042-2023

Date of Decision: 15.02.2025

Harjit Singh and others

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JAGMOHAN BANSAL

Present: - Mr. Jaswinder Singh Rana, Advocate
for Mr. Sandeep Arora, Advocate for the petitioners
Mr. Ramandeep Singh,
Senior Deputy Advocate General, Punjab

JAGMOHAN BANSAL, J. (Oral)

1. The petitioners through instant petition under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India are seeking direction to the respondents to count the service rendered by them as Auxiliary Constable (SPO) on daily wages for qualifying service for the purpose of pensionary/retiral benefits.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioners *inter alia* contends that case of the petitioners is squarely covered by Division Bench judgment dated 31.08.2010 (Annexure P-2) of this Court in ***Harbans Lal v. State of Punjab, CWP No.2371 of 2010.***

3. Mr. Ramandeep Singh, Senior Deputy Advocate General, Punjab submits that in terms of judgment of Division Bench of this Court in ***Harbans Lal (supra)***, the respondent-authorities in the case of various officials have considered their claim and passed orders. He further asserts

that appropriate authority would consider case of the petitioners in terms of



judgment of Division Bench of this Court in *Harbans Lal (supra)* and pass an appropriate order within three months from today.

4. In the wake of statement made by learned State counsel, the present petition stands disposed of.

(JAGMOHAN BANSAL)
JUDGE

15.02.2025
Mohit Kumar

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No