



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH
(115)

CRWP No. 3470 of 2025
Date of decision : 07.04.2025

Gurpreet Kaur and another

.....Petitioners

vs.

State of Haryana and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH BHARDWAJ

Present: Mr. S.K. Sharma, Advocate for the petitioners

...

RAJESH BHARDWAJ, J. (Oral)

1. The petitioners have filed the present petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India for issuance of direction to respondents No. 1 to 3 to protect life and personal liberty of the petitioners and not to harass or interfere in the peaceful life of the petitioners at the instance of respondents No. 4 to 19.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioners has submitted that both the petitioners are major and have married against the wishes of private respondents. It is further submitted that the petitioners apprehend danger from respondents No. 4 to 19. The petitioners have submitted a representation dated 03.04.2025 (Annexure P-5) to respondent No.2 for granting protection to their life and liberty.

3. Notice of motion to the official respondents only.



4. Mr. Sumit Jain, Additional Advocate General, Haryana, accepts notice on behalf of the State.
5. Without entering upon an exercise to evaluate the evidentiary value of the documents placed on the file, I dispose of the petition with a direction to respondent No.2- Superintendent of Police, Jind, District Jind, to decide the representation (Annexure P-5) of the petitioners and grant them protection, if any threat to their life and liberty is perceived.
6. It is clarified that this order shall not be taken to grant immunity to the petitioners from legal action for violation of law, if any, committed by them. This order would not be understood having expressed any opinion whatsoever by this Court on the validity of the marriage of the petitioners.

07.04.2025
chugh

(RAJESH BHARDWAJ)
JUDGE

Whether speaking / reasoned	Yes / No
Whether reportable	Yes / No