

2025:PHHC:147721



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

203

**CRM-M No.19421 of 2025
Date of decision: 27.10.2025**

Khusnud Khan

... Petitioner

Vs.

State of Haryana

... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANISHA BATRA

Present:- Mr. Gaurav Tyagi, Advocate and
Mr. Abhinav Saxena, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Ms. Himani Arora, DAG, Haryana,
for the respondent-State.

Dr. Anmol Rattan Sidhu, Sr. Advocate,
with Mr. Shiv K.Sharma, Advocate,
for the complainant.

MANISHA BATRA, J. (Oral)

1. The petitioner has joined investigation on 28.04.2025. Learned Deputy Advocate General, Haryana assisted by learned counsel for the complainant has submitted that the petitioner did not cooperate with the investigation and did not get effected recovery of money. He also did not provide requisite information necessary for proper investigation in the

2025:PHHC:147721



matter.

2. So far as the non recovery is concerned, mere non recovery of money cannot by itself be a ground for denial of bail as has also been observed by the Delhi High Court in case titled as '**Jagdish Thakkar vs. State of Delhi**', 1992 (3) CCR 2764' and in case titled as '**Pooran Singh vs. State of Delhi**', 2022(1) RCR (Criminal) 503. With regard to contention that the petitioner has not co-operated with the investigation, it may be stated that the behaviour attributed to the petitioner cannot be considered as an instance of non-cooperation, justifying denial for grant of pre-arrest bail since, an accused, while joining investigation, is not expected to make self incriminating statement under the threat that the State may seek withdrawal of the interim protection granted to him. In the considered opinion of this Court, the pretrial incarceration of the petitioner is not required. As such, the present petition is allowed and the petitioner is ordered to be extended benefit of anticipatory bail and the order dated 08.04.2025 is made absolute, subject to compliance of conditions laid down in Section 482(2) of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita (BNSS), 2023 and subject to furnishing of personal as well as surety bonds to the satisfaction of Investigating Officer/Arresting Officer, if the same have not been furnished so far. The petitioner shall join further investigation as and when required.

2025:PHHC:147721



3. It is clarified that observations made hereinabove shall not be construed as an expression of opinion on the merits of the case.

27.10.2025
manju

(MANISHA BATRA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No