



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

240

1.

CRWP-3427-2025

Date of decision: 30.04.2025

Sapna and another

.....Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents

2.

CRWP-3476-2025

Date of decision: 30.04.2025

Akashdeep Masih

.....Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANJARI NEHRU KAUL

Present : Mr. Kashav Chadha, Advocate
for the petitioners in both petitions.

Mr. Shiva Khurmi, AAG, Punjab.

MANJARI NEHRU KAUL, J. (ORAL)

1. In **CRWP-3427-2025**, petitioners-Sapna and Akashdeep Masih, are seeking issuance of directions to respondents No.1 to 3 to protect their life and liberty from danger at the hands of respondents No.4 to 8, who are not accepting the marriage of the petitioners whereas in **CRWP-3476-2025**, petitioner-Akashdeep Masih is seeking issuance of a writ in the nature of Habeas Corpus to get the detenue, namely Sapna (petitioner No.1 in CRWP-3427-2025), wife of Akashdeep Masih, released from illegal custody of respondents No.4 to 8.

2. Short reply by way of affidavit of Inderjit Singh, PPS,



CRWP-3427-2025
CRWP-3476-2025

Deputy Superintendent of Police, Sub-division Rajasansi, Amritsar (Rural), on behalf of respondents No.1 to 3 in CRWP-3476-2025, has been filed in the Court today which is taken on record subject to all just exceptions. A copy of the same has been supplied to the counsel opposite.

3. While drawing the attention of this Court to the reply, it has been contended by the learned State counsel that petitioner Akashdeep Singh and the alleged detenue Sapna are living happily together, and as per enquiry carried out by the official respondents, there exists no threat perception to the petitioners in CRM-M-3427-2025.

4. However, learned State counsel has submitted that in case any such threat perception in future is brought to the notice of the official respondents at the hands of the private respondents, the authorities concerned would take appropriate steps to protect the life and liberty of the petitioner, in accordance with law.

5. In view of the above, no further order is required to be passed in the present petitions, which stand disposed of accordingly.

6. A photocopy of this order be placed on the file of other connected case.

30.04.2025

Vinay

(MANJARI NEHRU KAUL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No