



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

111

**CRWP No.3442 of 2025
Date of decision: 07.04.2025**

JAVED KHAN AND ANOTHER**.... Petitioners****Versus****STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS****.... Respondents****CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANISHA BATRA**

Present : Mr. Nipun Bhardwaj, Advocate for the petitioners.

Ms. Himani Arora, A.A.G, Punjab.

MANISHA BATRA, J. (oral)

1. The present petition has been filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India seeking issuance of a writ of mandamus directing the official respondents No.1 to 3 to protect the life and liberty and property of the petitioner as well as his family members at the hands of respondents No. 4 to 96 and to take action on their representation dated 02.04.2025 (Annexure P-1).

2. At the very outset, learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the petitioner has recently filed a representation on 02.04.2025 before the Senior Superintendent of Police, Sangrur (Annexure P-1) raising their grievances as mentioned in the present petition but the same has not yet been considered and no action has been taken thereon. He further submitted that at this stage, the petitioners would be satisfied if appropriate directions are issued to respondent No. 2- Senior Superintendent of Police, Sangrur to decide the

**CRWP No.3442 of 2025**

-2-

aforesaid representation filed by the petitioner and take necessary action thereon.

3. Notice of motion.

4. Ms. Himani Arora, A.A.G, Punjab, who is present in Court, has accepted the notice on behalf of the respondents-State and submits that the needful will be done in due course. Let a complete set of the paperbook be supplied to her during the course of the day.

5. In view of the limited prayer made by the petitioner, without commenting anything on the merits of the case, the present petition is disposed of at this stage with a direction to respondent No. 2 to decide the aforesaid representation dated 02.04.2025 (Annexure P-1) of the petitioner within a period of one month from today, by passing a speaking order in accordance with law. Needless to say, if any threat is found to the petitioner as well as his family members, the official respondents would provide them adequate security in order to protect their life and liberty.

07.04.2025**Jyoti-IV****(MANISHA BATRA)****JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No.
Whether reportable :	Yes/No