



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

215-1

RSA-4374-2001 (O&M)

Date of Decision:-12.12.2025

Sudarshan Singh

.....Appellants

Versus

Om Parkash and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMARINDER SINGH GREWAL

Present: None for the appellant.

Ms. Nikita Sharma, Advocate, for
the respondents.

AMARINDER SINGH GREWAL, J. (Oral)

1. Despite repeated calls, no one has put in appearance on behalf of appellant.
2. Perusal of the case file reveals that on the previous date of hearing, learned counsel for the appellants had appeared and stated that the matter had come up for hearing after a long period and due to passage of time, he has lost contact with his client and he prayed for issuance of notices to the appellant. Accordingly, notice was issued to the appellant.
3. As per the report of the Registry, notice issued to the appellant received with the report of his death and respondents No.1, 2, 3 and 5 are also died.



4. In view of the above, and considering the fact that the present appeal pertains to the year 2001, no useful purpose would be served by keeping the matter pending. Accordingly, the present appeal is dismissed for non-prosecution, with liberty to the legal representatives of the deceased appellant to seek revival of the same, if so advised, as per law.
5. Pending applications, if any, shall stand disposed of.

(AMARINDER SINGH GREWAL)

JUDGE

12.12.2025

anil

Whether speaking/reasoned:-	Yes/No
Whether Reportable:-	Yes/No