



**119 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

Date of decision : 26.03.2025

- 1. CR-1982-2021 (O&M)**

Gaurav Thukral and another ...Petitioners

Vs.

Smt. Prineet Kaur and another ...Respondents
- 2. CR-1991-2021 (O&M)**

Vikram Goyal and another ...Petitioners

Vs.

Smt. Prineet Kaur and another ...Respondents
- 3. CR-2156-2021 (O&M)**

Ravinder Bhola and another ...Petitioners

Vs.

Smt. Prineet Kaur and another ...Respondents
- 4. CR-2214-2021 (O&M)**

Balwinder Kumar and another ...Petitioners

Vs.

Smt. Prineet Kaur and another ...Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KSHETARPAL

Present: Mr. Ravish Bansal, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Sangram Singh Saron, Advocate
for respondent No.1.

ANIL KSHETARPAL, J. (Oral)

- 1. With the consent of learned counsel representing the parties, four**



connected revision petitions shall stand disposed of by a common Order.

2. It is surprising that the plaintiffs after having remained successful before the trial Court have filed this revision petition. They filed a civil suit for grant of permanent injunction on the ground that they have purchased property comprised in particular khasra numbers, which was also identified by plot numbers. The trial Court decreed their suit, however, only plot number was referred in the operative part of the judgment. An application filed by the defendant for clearly mentioning the khasra number has been allowed by the trial Court.

3 Learned counsel representing the petitioners submits that he is *dominus litis* and therefore, the Court is required to pass the decree as per his pleadings. He has been repeatedly requested to explain the prejudice caused in specifically mentioning the khasra numbers of the property. He failed to explain the same.

4. Keeping in view the aforesaid facts, no ground to interfere is made out.

5. Hence, all the four revision petitions are dismissed.

26.03.2025
neeraj

(ANIL KSHETARPAL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes	No
Whether Reportable :	Yes	No