



- 1 -

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

210

**CRM-M-18743-2025
Date of decision: 30.07.2025**

SARBJIT SINGH

....Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY VASHISTH

Present : Mr. Simranjit Singh, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Manjinder Singh Bhullar, DAG, Punjab.

SANJAY VASHISTH. J.(Oral)

1. Present petition has been filed by the petitioner seeking grant of anticipatory bail in case FIR No.205 dated 25.11.2023 (Annexure P-1) registered under Sections 420, 120-B of IPC,1860, at Police Station Kamboj, District Amritsar.

2. On 04.04.2025, following order was passed:-

The present petition has been filed by the petitioner seeking grant of anticipatory bail in case FIR No.205 dated 25.11.2023 (Annexure P-1) registered under Sections 420, 120-B of IPC,1860, at Police Station Kamboj, District Amritsar.

Learned counsel for the petitioner, at the outset, contends that only allegation levelled against the petitioner is of some compensation amount being credited into his bank account. It has been submitted that the said compensation amount had been wrongly credited by the NHAI and therefore, the petitioner could not be held culpable for the said transaction. It has been further submitted that the petitioner was extended the concession of interim bail by the learned trial Court, however, on account of some bereavement in his



- 2-

family, he was unable to join investigation, on account of which, his application for anticipatory bail was dismissed by the learned trial Court.

Learned counsel for the petitioner further submits that the petitioner has no criminal antecedents and that he is willing to join investigation and appear before the investigating agency, as and when called upon to do so.

Notice of motion.

On the asking of the Court, Mr. H.S. Deol, Sr. DAG, Punjab accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State and seeks time to get necessary instructions. Adjourned to 30.07.2025.

Meanwhile, the petitioner is directed to join the investigation and appear before the investigating agency, as and when called upon to do so. In the event of his arrest, he shall be admitted to interim bail on his furnishing bail bonds to the satisfaction of the Arresting/Investigating Officer. The petitioner shall abide by the terms and conditions as envisaged under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C./482(2) of BNSS, 2023.”

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that in compliance of the order dated 04.04.2025, passed by this Court, the petitioner has joined the investigation, and has fully co-operated.

4. Learned State counsel on instructions from the official respondent, confirms the said averment and submits that the custodial interrogation of the petitioner would not be required now for the purpose of investigation.

5. Heard learned counsel for the parties.

6. Since the petitioner has joined the investigation, custodial interrogation is no more required, present petition is allowed and ad-interim order dated 04.04.2025, passed by this Court is hereby made absolute.



However, petitioner shall continue to join the investigation as and when required to do so and abide by all the terms and conditions laid down under Section 482(2) of BNSS, 2023.

7. Accordingly, petition stands disposed of.

		(SANJAY VASHISTH)
		JUDGE
30.07.2025		
amandeep		
Whether speaking/reasoned.	:	Yes/No
Whether Reportable.	:	Yes/No