



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

1140

Date of decision: 19.05.2025

CWP-11509-2008 (O & M)

Rakesh Kumar vs. State of Punjab and Others

CWP-11511-2008

Gurpreet Singh vs. State of Punjab and Others

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMAN CHAUDHARY

Present : Mr. Shivam Kapila, Advocate for
Mr. Kapil Kakkar, Advocate,
for the petitioners in both the petitions.

Mr. Satnam Preet Singh Chauhan, DAG, Punjab.

Ms. Alka Chatrath, Advocate for
Ms. Dhamnpreet Kaur, Advocate,
for respondent No.4.

AMAN CHAUDHARY, J. (ORAL)

1. These cases involve similar issues and therefore, are being disposed of together and for the sake of brevity, the facts are taken from CWP-11509-2008.

2. Learned counsel submits that the marks for Masters of Science (Information Technology) and experience which were reduced curtailing the merit, ought to have been granted to the petitioner.

3. Learned State counsel refers to the counter affidavit filed, as also the advertisement, to state that marks for higher qualification had to be for acquiring the degree of M.A/M.Sc./M.Com/M.Ed. and since the last selected candidate in general category for the post of Teaching Fellow in District Amritsar, secured 56.54 points while the petitioner 50.852, he was not in zone of selection.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner was unable to controvert the above despite his best efforts and the terms and conditions of the advertisement being sacrosanct, the claim as raised in the petition is untenable.

5. Petitions stand dismissed.

6. Photocopy of this order be placed on the connected file.

19.05.2025

parveen kumar

**(AMAN CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes / No
Whether reportable : Yes / No