



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

135

CWP-10173-2025 (O&M)

Date of decision: 08.04.2025

Tirbhawan Singh Chahal

...Petitioner

VERSUS

State Bank of India and others

...Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VINOD S. BHARDWAJ

Present :- Mr. Sunny Singla, Advocate for the petitioner.

VINOD S. BHARDWAJ, J. (Oral)

1. The instant petition has been preferred by the petitioner seeking issuance of an appropriate writ especially in the nature of Mandamus directing the respondents to re-instate him on his former post of Branch Manager.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner, on being confronted as to under what provisions such a prayer can be accepted and considering that the resignation was accepted in the year 2022 and he was relieved from the service on 17.11.2022, he contends that he would confine his prayer only to the extent of admissible dues not having been released to the petitioner for the last nearly 2 ½ years notwithstanding the resignation stands accepted.

3. Mr. Gaurav Goel, Advocate and Mr. Ajay Singh Rana, Advocate, enter appearance and accept notice on behalf of the respondent(s)-Bank and contend that the representation submitted by the petitioner with respect to the restricted claim made by him about settlement of pending dues



shall be decided in a time bound manner and preferably within a period of 04 months of receipt of a certified copy of this order.

4. The admissible benefit on such adjudication shall thereafter be released within a further period of 01 month.

5. In view of the above, the present petition is accordingly **disposed of** as having been rendered infructuous.

6. It is made clear that the respondent(s)-Bank shall remain bound by the statement made today in the Court.

(VINOD S. BHARDWAJ)
JUDGE

08.04.2025

Mangal Singh

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No