

**127/5 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

2025:PHHC:005594



DATE OF DECISION : 16.01.2025

1. **CR-2861-2019 (O&M)**

**M/S AMRIT BREAD PRIVATE LIMITED AND ANOTHER
... PETITIONERS**

V/S

**PUNJAB STATE POWER CORPORATION LTD. AND ANOTHER
... RESPONDENTS**

2. CR-3015-2019 (O&M)

**M/S AMRIT RUSK LIMITED AND ANOTHER
... PETITIONERS**

V/S

**PUNJAB STATE POWER CORPORATION LTD. AND ANOTHER
... RESPONDENTS**

3. CR-3016-2019 (O&M)

**M/S AMRIT FUN BITES PRIVATE LIMITED AND ANOTHER
... PETITIONERS**

V/S

**PUNJAB STATE POWER CORPORATION LTD. AND ANOTHER
... RESPONDENTS**

4. CR-3063-2019 (O&M)

M/S AMRIT CRISPY SNACKS PRIVATE LIMITED AND ANOTHER

... PETITIONERS

V/S

**PUNJAB STATE POWER CORPORATION LTD. AND ANOTHER
... RESPONDENTS**

5. **CR-3074-2019 (O&M)**

M/S AMRIT FOUNDRY AND ANOTHER

... PETITIONERS

V/S

**PUNJAB STATE POWER CORPORATION LTD. AND ANOTHER
... RESPONDENTS**

CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE HARPREET KAUR JEEWAN

Present: Mr. Saurav Verma, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Sehajbir Singh, Advocate with
Ms. Diya Sodhi and Mr. Baltej Walia, Advocates
for respondent No.1 in
CR-2861-2019 and CR-3015-2019.

Mr. Abhilaksh Grover, Advocate for respondent No.1 in
CR-3016-2019, CR-3063-2019 and CR-3074-2019.

* * *

HARPREET KAUR JEEWAN, J. (ORAL)

1. By this common order all the Civil Revisions mentioned above are being disposed of as common question of law and facts are involved in these petitions.

2. For the sake of 'Brevity', the facts of the case are taken from CR-3063-2019.

3. Mr. Sehajbir Singh, Advocate has filed memo of appearance on behalf of respondent No.1 in CR-2861-2019 and CR-3015-2019.

4. Learned counsel for respondent No.1 contends that petitioners filed a suit for permanent injunction in which an application for interim stay under Order 39 rule 1 and 2 CPC was also filed which was initially allowed vide order dated 06.04.2018 (Annexure P-2) restraining the respondents/defendants from disconnecting the electricity connection in dispute during the pendency of the civil suit, subject to deposit of 30% of the bill amount. Thereafter, an application was filed by the respondents/defendants under Section 151 CPC, in which the impugned

order dated 25.02.2019 was passed by the Civil Judge, Batala. The said application was allowed and the petitioners/plaintiffs were directed to make the payment of entire amount of the bills during the pendency of the said civil suits with few exceptions.

5. It is further submitted that petitioners had challenged the said order dated 25.02.2019 by way of present revision petition. However, during the pendency of the present petition, the main suit i.e. Civil Suit No. 32 of 2018 has been decided vide judgment dated 29.08.2024 passed by Ms. Reeta Hans, Civil Judge, (Junior Division), Batala. Similarly, the other main civil suits filed by the petitioners have been decided by the Civil Judge vide various judgments during the pendency of the present petitions. Copy of the judgment dated 29.08.2024 passed in Civil Suit No. 32 of 2018 is submitted in the Court, which is taken on record.

6. I have perused the copy of the final judgment dated 29.08.2024 passed in the aforesaid Civil Suit No. 32 of 2018 titled as M/s Amrit Crispy Snacks Private Limited and another V/s Punjab State Power Corporation Ltd. and another.

7. Learned counsel for respondent No.1 has further submitted that while dismissing the suit vide judgment dated 29.08.2024, the learned Civil Judge has observed that petitioner has failed to prove that firm is a sick firm or that petitioner firm is entitled to any special package on the basis of any direction/policy of the Government regarding electricity consumption.

8. It is not disputed that the order under challenge in the present revisions has merged into the final judgment whereby the main civil suit has been dismissed.

9. Learned counsel for the petitioners contends that petitioners reserve the right to file the appeal challenging the order of dismissal of the civil suit and to challenge the non-compliance of the order passed in the revision petitions by the respondents.

10. In view of the above circumstances, the present revision petitions have been rendered infructuous.

11. Disposed of as having been rendered infructuous with the liberty aforesaid.

12. Copy of this order be placed on the files of connected petitions.

16.01.2025

Janki

(HARPREET KAUR JEEWAN)

JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No