



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

232

CRM-M-19459-2025

Date of decision: July 1st, 2025

Pankaj Kumar and others

.....Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANJARI NEHRU KAUL

Present: Mr. Sayyam Garg, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Karan Sharma, Deputy Advocate General, Haryana.

Mr. Arsh Bhatti, Advocate
for respondent Nos.2 and 3.

MANJARI NEHRU KAUL, J. (ORAL)

Prayer in the instant petition is for quashing of FIR No.264 dated 09.09.2021 under Sections 148, 149, 323, 201, 506, 307 of the IPC (Sections 201 and 307 of the IPC added and Section 3 of SC & ST Act deleted later on), registered at Police Station Kheripul, District Faridabad and all consequential proceedings arising therefrom, on the basis of compromise dated 26.03.2025 (Annexure P-2).

2. Vide order dated 08.04.2025 of this Court, the parties were directed to appear before the learned trial Court/Illaq Magistrate on 08.05.2025 to get their statements recorded regarding the compromise arrived at, between them.

3. Report has since been received from learned Judicial Magistrate Ist Class, Faridabad, in pursuance of the directions

of this Court, wherein, the factum of the compromise arrived at between the parties stands verified and confirmed. As per the report compromise has indeed been effected between the parties and the same is without any pressure or coercion and out of their free will and the complainant has also made statement to the effect that he would have no objection if the FIR *qua* the accused-petitioners is quashed.

4. The trial Court has annexed the statements of the parties in original, along with its report.

5. Learned State counsel too submits that there are no other accused other than the petitioners and the private respondents are the only aggrieved persons in the FIR in question.

6. In view of the report of the learned Judicial Magistrate Ist Class, Faridabad, and the principles laid down by Hon'ble the Apex Court in *Gian Singh Vs. State of Punjab and others (2012) 10 SCC 303*, and also by the Full Bench of this Court in *Kulwinder Singh and others Vs. State of Punjab and another, 2007(3) RCR (Criminal) 1052*, the instant petition is allowed. The aforesaid FIR and all consequential proceedings arising out of it, are quashed.

7. Needless to say the parties shall remain bound by the terms of compromise and their statements recorded before the Court below.

July 1st, 2025
Puneet

(MANJARI NEHRU KAUL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No