



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

110

**CRWP No.3435 of 2025
Date of decision: 07.04.2025**

PUSHPINDER SINGH

.... Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS

.... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANISHA BATRA

Present : Mr. Munish Puri, Advocate for the petitioner.

Ms. Himani Arora, A.A.G, Punjab.

MANISHA BATRA, J. (oral)

1. The present petition has been filed under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India seeking issuance of a writ of mandamus directing the official respondents No.2 and 3 to protect the life and liberty of the petitioner and his family members at the hands of respondents No. 4 & 5 and to take action on their representation dated 30.01.2025 (Annexure P-7).

2. At the very outset, learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the petitioner has recently filed a representation on 30.01.2025 before the Senior Superintendent of Police, Pathankot (Annexure P-7) raising his grievances as mentioned in the present petition but the same has not yet been considered and no action has been taken thereon. He further submitted that at this stage, the petitioner would be satisfied if appropriate directions are issued to respondent No. 2- Senior Superintendent of Police, Pathankot to decide the aforesaid representation filed by the petitioner and take necessary action thereon.

**CRWP No.3435 of 2025**

-2-

3. Notice of motion.

4. Ms. Himani Arora, A.A.G, Punjab, who is present in Court, has accepted the notice on behalf of the respondents-State and submits that the needful will be done in due course. Let a complete set of the paperbook be supplied to her during the course of the day.

5. In view of the limited prayer made by the petitioner, without commenting anything on the merits of the case, the present petition is disposed of at this stage with a direction to respondent No. 2 to decide the aforesaid representation dated 30.01.2025 (Annexure P-7) of the petitioner within a period of one month from today, by passing a speaking order in accordance with law. Needless to say, if any threat is found to the petitioner as well as his family members, the official respondents would provide them adequate security in order to protect their life and liberty.

07.04.2025**Jyoti-IV****(MANISHA BATRA)****JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No.
Whether reportable :	Yes/No