



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

103+204

Date of decision: 27.10.2025

CRM-M-19074-2025 (O&M)

AKILPetitioner

VERSUS

STATE OF HARYANARespondent

CRM-M-11069-2025

AAMIRPetitioner

VERSUS

STATE OF HARYANARespondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VINOD S. BHARDWAJ

Present: - Mr. Balraj Gujjar, Advocate and
Ms. Shweta Bawa, Advocate
for the petitioner in CRM-M-19074-2025.

Mr. Nafees Ahmad Khan, Advocate
for the petitioner in CRM-M-11069-2025.

Ms. Chhavi Sharma, Asstt. A.G. Haryana
for the respondent-State.

VINOD S. BHARDWAJ, J. (Oral)

CRM-42416-2025 in CRM-M-19074-2025

**CRM-M-19074-2025 (O&M) and CRM-M-11069-2025**

-2-

The present application has been filed seeking preponement of the main case.

For the reasons mentioned in the application, the same is allowed and the main case i.e. CRM-M-19074-2025 is taken up on Board today itself.

MAIN CASES

1. Both these petitions which arise out of the same FIR and by two different accused persons are being taken up together.
2. The instant petitions have been filed under Section 483 of The Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023, for the grant of regular bail in case bearing FIR No. 179 dated 08.06.2024, registered under Section(s) 148, 149, 323, 324, 341 and 506 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 (Section 302/326 of the IPC and Section 25,54,59 of the Arms Act, 1959 were added later on) at Police Station Hathin, Palwal, District Palwal.
3. While the petitioner-Akil in CRM-M-19074-2025 is in custody since 10.06.2024, the petitioner-Aamir in CRM-M-11069-2025 is in custody since 19.08.2024.
4. Counsel for the petitioner contends that the incident in question is stated to have taken place on 07.06.2024 resulting in death of one Afzal on 10.06.2024. He submits that as many as 13 persons were named as accused in the present case. During investigation, 07 persons were found innocent. He contends that true genesis of the occurrence has not been revealed and that as many as 05 persons from the side of the petitioner have

**CRM-M-19074-2025 (O&M) and CRM-M-11069-2025**

-3-

also received injuries. The same has not been explained by the prosecution. He further submits that as per the final report filed, the petitioner Akil has been attributed a danda blow on the head of Wali Mohammad. The said injury is stated to be simple in nature. He contends that the petitioner is involved in only one other case registered under Section 279, 337 and 338 of the IPC and is not involved in any other heinous offence. It is further contended that the petitioner-Aamir is not involved in any other case and no role is attributed to him. It is only alleged that he was present at the place of occurrence. He submits that total of 33 witnesses have been cited by the prosecution in the present case and only 01 witness has been examined so far. The petitioners have undergone an actual custody of more than 01 year and 04 months and that the trial is not likely conclude soon.

5. Counsel for the respondent-State is not in a position to dispute the facts noticed as above.

6. I have heard learned counsel appearing on behalf of the respective parties.

7. Taking note of the facts as above and considering the period of custody, the role of the petitioners, the stage of the trial as also bearing in mind the criminal antecedents of the petitioner-Akil and lack of criminal involvement of petitioner-Aamir, I deem it appropriate to enlarge the petitioners on regular bail to the satisfaction of the trial Court.



CRM-M-19074-2025 (O&M) and CRM-M-11069-2025

-4-

8. The instant petitions are allowed and the petitioners are ordered to be released on regular bail on their furnishing requisite bail bond/surety bond to the satisfaction of the trial Court/Duty Magistrate, concerned.
9. It is made clear that the petitioners shall not extend any threat and shall not influence any prosecution witnesses in any manner directly or indirectly.
10. The observation made hereinabove shall not be construed as an expression on the merits of the case and the Trial Court shall decide the case on the basis of available material.

OCTOBER 27, 2025
Vishal Sharma

(VINOD S. BHARDWAJ)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether Reportable : Yes/No