



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-18718-2025

Date of Decision:03.07.2025

Ankush Kamboj

...Petitioner

vs.

State of Haryana

...Respondent

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice N.S.Shekhawat

Present : Mr. Munish Kumar Garg, Advocate with
Ms. Bhawna Thakur, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Rajeev Sidhu, DAG, Haryana.

N.S.Shekhawat J. (Oral)

1. The petitioner has filed the present petition under Section 482 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 with a prayer to grant anticipatory bail to him in case FIR No.507 dated 27.10.2023 registered under Sections 120-B, 420 of IPC (later on investigating agency added Sections 467, 468 & 471 of IPC, as per final report dated 23.10.2024 submitted against co-accused persons), at Police Station Gohana City, District Sonapat.

2. While granting the concession of interim anticipatory bail by this Court on 24.04.2025, the following contentions were noticed by this Court:-

“Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the complaint was initially moved by Abhishek Kumar Jha, Manager of IFFCO Kisan Finance Limited. As per the complainant, Rajesh Kaliraman, Anuradha and Gagan Sethi, co-accused had got financed certain tractors fraudulently from IFFCO Kisan Finance Limited and thereafter, Rajesh Kaliraman had allegedly sold the tractor further to two other persons and the tractor has already

been recovered by the police, during the course of investigation. He further contends that the petitioner was a bonafide purchaser and had paid the huge amount while purchasing the tractor from the fraudsters. Learned counsel further contends that the recovery, if any, has already been effected in the present case and further custody of the petitioner may not be required and he is ready to join the investigation.”

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner has reiterated the submissions and further submits that the petitioner has joined the investigation and his custodial interrogation may not be required.

4. Learned State counsel on instructions from SI Ravinder also submits that the petitioner has joined the investigation and is no longer required for further investigation.

5. In view of the above statement made by learned counsel for the parties, the interim order dated 24.04.2025 is made absolute. The petitioner shall continue to join the investigation, as and when called by the Investigating Officer. The petitioner shall also abide by the conditions as specified under Section 482 (2) of B.N.S.S.

03.07.2025
hitesh

(N.S.SHEKHAWAT)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No