



352

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-18729-2025
Date of decision:-20.05.2025

HARPAL SINGH
Versus
STATE OF PUNJAB

... Petitioner
... Respondent

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJIV BERRY.

Present:- Mr. Joginder Pal Devgan, Advocate, for the petitioner.
Mr. Jatinder Pal Singh, Sr. DAG, Punjab.
Mr. Ankush Rampal, Advocate for the complainant.

SANJIV BERRY, J.(ORAL)

The instant petition has been preferred by the petitioners under 483 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023, for grant of regular bail in the following case (Annexure P-1):-

FIR No.	Dated	Sections	Police Station
0129	06.11.2024	118(2), 118(1), 115(2), 193(3), 190, 191(3), 117(2) added later of BNS	Bhindi Saidan, District Amritsar Rural

2. Arguments heard.
3. It is *inter alia* contended by learned counsel for the petitioner that the petitioner is innocent and has been falsely implicated in this case, no specific overt act is attributed to the petitioner and the petitioner is not having any criminal antecedents and is in custody since 06.02.2025. After completion of investigation challan has already been presented in Court,, as such, petitioner is not required for further investigation in the present case



triable by the Court of Magistrate. He contends that during course of proceedings, with the intervention of respectables, the matter has already been amicably compromised between the parties vide compromise deed dated 24.03.2025 (Annexure P-4) and on the basis thereof, even quashing petition bearing Nos. CRM-M-18773-2025 and CRM-M-18714-2025 have been filed which are pending for 02.07.2025. Hence, prayed for grant of regular bail to the petitioner.

4. *Per contra*, learned State counsel submits that the petitioner is alleged to have caused injury on the right thumb of the complainant as such he does not deserve concession of bail. However, he has not disputed that the said injury was found to be simple in nature. He has also not disputed the the factum of quashing petitions being pending on the basis of compromise (Annexure P-4).

5. Mr. Ankush Rampal, Advocate has put in appearance on behalf of complainant and filed Power of Attorney/Vakalatnama, the same is taken on record. He has admitted the factum of compromise deed dated 24.03.2025 (Annexure P-4) being duly executed between the parties without any force or coercion. He submits that he has specific instructions from the complainant to say that he has no objection in grant of bail to the petitioner.

6. After considering the rival contentions and perusing the record, and without commenting on the merits and considering the fact that the alleged injury attributed to the petitioner is simple in nature, after completion of investigation, challan has already been presented in Court and the petitioner is not having any criminal antecedents, coupled with the fact that parties have effected compromise dated 24.03.2025 (Annexure P-4) on the basis thereof, petitions for quashing of the aforesaid FIR had already



been filed, as such, no purpose would be served to detain the petitioner in custody any longer.

7. Resultantly, in these circumstances, without commenting on the merits of the case, the present petition is allowed. The petitioner is ordered to be released on bail subject to furnishing bail bonds/surety bonds to the satisfaction of learned Trial Court concerned, if not required in any other case; undertaking to regularly appear on each and every date; not to leave the country without prior permission of the Court; and not to tamper with the evidence of the prosecution in any manner.

8. Any observation made above shall not be construed as opinion of this Court on the merits of the case.

9. Pending application(s) if any shall also stand disposed of.

(SANJIV BERRY)
JUDGE

20.05.2025

Gyan

- | | | |
|-----|----------------------------|--------|
| i) | Whether speaking/reasoned? | Yes/No |
| ii) | Whether reportable? | Yes/No |