



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

215

RSA-3517-2001 (O&M)

Date of Decision:-12.12.2025

Om Parkash and anr

.....Appellants

Versus

Sudarshan Singh and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMARINDER SINGH GREWAL

Present: None.

AMARINDER SINGH GREWAL, J. (Oral)

1. Perusal of the case file reveals that on the previous date of hearing, learned counsel for the appellants had appeared and stated that the matter had come up for hearing after a long period and due to passage of time, he has lost contact with his clients and he prayed for issuance of notices to the appellants. Accordingly, notice were issued to the appellants.

2. As per the report of the Registry, both the appellants have expired. Even, the notices issued to the respondents No.1, 2 and 4 received back with the report of their death, whereas, respondents No.3, 5 to 8 have been served. However, no one responded to the calls on behalf of either party.

3. In view of the above, and considering the fact that the present appeal pertains to the year 2001, no useful purpose would be

served by keeping the matter pending. Accordingly, the present appeal is dismissed for non-prosecution, with liberty to the legal representatives of the deceased appellants to seek revival of the same, if so advised, as per law.

4. Pending applications, if any, shall stand disposed of.

(AMARINDER SINGH GREWAL)
JUDGE

12.12.2025
anil

Whether speaking/reasoned:-	Yes/No
Whether Reportable:-	Yes/No