



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

210

CWP-11221-2019

Date of Decision: 14.10.2025

Gurudev Singh Sandhe

....Petitioner

Versus

Union of India and others

....Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS SURI**

Present: Mr. Amitabh Tewari, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Sunish Bindlish, Senior Standing Counsel with
Mr. Parth Sharma, Advocate
for the respondents.

Harsimran Singh Sethi, J. (Oral)

1. In the present petition, challenge is made to order dated 01.10.2018 (Annexure P-1) passed by Central Administrative Tribunal, Chandigarh, whereby claim of petitioner with regard to revision of pension is rejected.

2. Learned counsel for the parties agree that the reasons which have been given for not revising the pension of the petitioner is based upon the Office Memorandum dated 22.07.2011 (Annexure P-17) which memorandum has already been withdrawn vide memorandum dated 14.06.2012 and therefore, the case needs to be remanded back to the Tribunal for fresh adjudication in view of the subsequent Office Memorandum dated 14.06.2022



CWP-11221-2019

-2-

(Annexure A-1).

2. Keeping in view the above, the order dated 01.10.2018 (Annexure P-1) passed by the Central Administrative Tribunal, Chandigarh Bench, Chandigarh, is set aside and the case is remanded back to the Tribunal for fresh adjudication in view of the assertion made by the learned counsel for the parties that the basis for denying the relief, has already been withdrawn by the Government.

3. Let the parties appear before the Central Administrative Tribunal, Chandigarh Bench, Chandigarh, on 03.11.2025.

4. Disposed of accordingly.

5. Pending applications, if any, also stand disposed of.

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

(VIKAS SURI)
JUDGE

October 14, 2025

Varinder

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes

Whether reportable : No