



CRWP-3347-2025

1

118

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH
CRWP-3347-2025
Decided on: 08.04.2025

Bebi and another

Versus

State of Haryana and others

.....Petitioners

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY VASHISTH

Present: Mr. Ankush Dhanerwal, Advocate for the petitioners
(through video conferencing)

Mr. Kanwar Sanjiv Kumar, AAG, Haryana.

SANJAY VASHISTH, J.

1. Prayer in the instant petition, filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, is for issuance of direction to the official respondents to provide protection of lives and liberty to the petitioners, who have married against the wishes of the private respondents.

The details, relevant for decision of the present petition, are tabulated as under:-

	Petitioner No. 1	Petitioner No. 2
Name(s)	Bebi	Sagar Singh
Age (in years)	29 years	22 years
Date of marriage	01.04.2025	
Rite	Hindu rites	
Representation dated	01.04.2025	
Submitted to	Respondents No.2-The Superintendent of Police, Kaithal and respondent No.3-Station House Officer, Police Station Cheekha, District Kaithal	
Family members arrayed as	Respondents No. 4 to 9	

2. In compliance to the order dated 04.04.2025 passed by this Court, photographs of marriage have been filed in the Registry. Same is

**CRWP-3347-2025****2**

taken on record. Registry is directed to tag the same at appropriate place with the paper-book.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the petitioners have solemnized marriage against the wishes of their family members, who are threatening and interfering in the matrimonial life of the petitioners. It is the first marriage of both the petitioners. Hence, the petitioners are seeking protection in that regard and have approached this Court by way of filing the instant petition. They have also submitted a representation dated 01.04.2025 (Annexure P-3), to respondents No.2 and 3, wherein, they have expressed their apprehension.

4. Notice of motion to the official respondents only.

5. On asking of the Court, learned State counsel accepts notice on behalf of the respondent – State. Let requisite copies of the complete paper book be supplied to learned State counsel during course of the day.

6. In view of the above, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2- The Superintendent of Police, Kaithal, to look into the representation dated 01.04.2025 (Annexure P-3), *qua* threat perception, and if there is any substance in it, take necessary steps, in accordance with law, to ensure that the lives and liberty of the petitioners are not jeopardized at the hands of the private respondents.

7. However, this direction will not validate the marriage said to have taken place between the parties and will have no effect on any civil or criminal action, which could be initiated in the matter in accordance with law.

(SANJAY VASHISTH)
JUDGE

April 08, 2025
rashmi

Whether Speaking/Reasoned: **YES/NO**
Whether Reportable: **YES/NO**