



282 **IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-18503-2025

Date of decision: 07.05.2025

SURESH KUMAR JHAMB

...PETITIONER

V/S

STATE OF HARYANA AND ANOTHER

...RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARPREET SINGH BRAR

Present: Mr. Harjit Singh, Advocate for
 Mr. Pawan Kumar Kapila, Advocate for the petitioner.
 Mr. Ramesh Kumar Ambavta, AAG, Haryana.
 Mr. Sushil K. Verma, Advocate for respondent No.2.

HARPREET SINGH BRAR, J. (ORAL)

1. This petition has been filed under Section 528 BNSS, 2023 seeking quashing of FIR No.369 dated 04.11.2020 under Sections 406/420/506/34 of IPC registered at Police Station Sirsa Sadar, District Sirsa (Annexure P-1) along with all subsequent proceedings arising therefrom on the basis of compromise dated 29.03.2025 (Annexure P-2).

2. The following order was passed on 07.04.2025 :-

“This petition has been filed under Section 528 BNSS, 2023 seeking quashing of FIR No.369 dated 04.11.2020 under Sections 406/420/506/34 of IPC registered at Police Station Sirsa Sadar, District Sirsa (Annexure P-1) along with all subsequent proceedings arising therefrom on the basis of compromise dated 29.03.2025 (Annexure P-2).

Notice of motion.

At this stage, on the asking of the Court, Ms. Geeta Sharma, DAG, Haryana accepts notice on behalf of respondent No.1-State and Mr. Sushil Kumar Verma, Advocate accepts notice for respondent No.2 and files his memo of appearance and undertakes to file his power of attorney on or before the next date of hearing. He admits to the factum of compromise. Copy of the paper book be supplied to them during the course of day.



Service is complete.

Adjourned to 07.05.2025.

In the meanwhile, the parties are directed to appear before the learned trial Court/Illaq Magistrate within two weeks from today or any other date convenient to the trial Court/Illaq Magistrate, to get recorded their statements regarding compromise and after recording their statements, learned trial Court/Illaq Magistrate is directed to send report regarding the genuineness of compromise and also to intimate whether any PO proceedings are pending against any of the party on or before the date fixed.

A copy of the order be sent to learned trial Court/Illaq Magistrate through fax for compliance.”

3. In compliance of the aforesaid order, a report has been received from the concerned jurisdictional Court that the compromise between the parties is genuine and arrived at without any pressure or coercion from anyone.

4. In view of the compromise and the ratio of law laid down by the Hon’ble Supreme Court in ***Narinder Singh and others vs. State of Punjab and another, (2014) 6 SCC 46***, and ***Shakuntala Sawhney (Mrs) Vs. Kaushalya (Mrs.) and others (1980) 1 SCC 63*** and Full Bench of this Court in ***Kulwinder Singh Vs. State of Punjab 2007 (3) RCR (CrL) 1052***, this petition is allowed and FIR No.369 dated 04.11.2020 under Sections 406/420/506/34 of IPC registered at Police Station Sirsa Sadar, District Sirsa (Annexure P-1) and all consequential proceedings arising out of the same are quashed, qua the petitioner.

May 07, 2025

manisha

(HARPREET SINGH BRAR)
JUDGE

- (i) Whether speaking/reasoned
- (ii) Whether reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No