



137

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRWP-3330-2025 (O&M)

Date of decision :03.04.2025

Konika and another**.....Petitioners**

versus

State of Punjab and others**.....Respondents****CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH BHARDWAJ**

Present :- Mr. Vikramjit Singh, Advocate
for the petitioners.

RAJESH BHARDWAJ, J. (Oral)**CRM-W-447-2025**

Allowed as prayed for

CRWP-3330-2025

1. Prayer in this petition is for directing respondents No.2 & 3 not to harass the petitioners at the instance of respondents No.4 to 6 as the petitioners got married against the wishes of private respondents, with further prayer to direct respondents No.2 & 3 to protect the lives and liberty of the petitioners as they apprehend grave and imminent threat to their lives and liberty at the hands of respondents No.4 to 6.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that both the petitioners are major and have married against the wishes of respondents No.4 & 5 and have sought protection to their life and liberty. They apprehend danger from respondents No.4 & 5. Their photographs and marriage certificate evidencing their marriage is on record as Annexures P-3 and P-4. Learned counsel for the petitioners states that petitioners have filed representation dated 31.03.2025 (Annexure P-5) before respondent No.2 i.e. The Commissioner of Police, Jalandhar.

**CRWP-3330-2025 (O&M)****-2-**

3. Notice of motion to respondents No.1 to 3.
4. On asking of the Court, Mr. Tarun Aggarwal, Sr. D.A.G., Punjab, notice on behalf of the respondents-State.
5. Without entering upon an exercise to evaluate the evidentiary value of the documents placed on the file, I dispose of the petition with a direction to respondent No.2-The Commissioner of Police, Jalandhar to decide the representation dated 31.03.2025 (Annexure P-5) of the petitioners and grant them protection, if any threat to their life and liberty is perceived. It is clarified that this order shall not be taken to grant immunity to the petitioners from legal action for violation of law, if any, committed by them. This order would not be understood having expressed any opinion whatsoever by this Court on the validity of the marriage of the petitioners.
6. Disposed of.

(RAJESH BHARDWAJ)
JUDGE

03.04.2025*ps-I*

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No