



CR-2514-2023 (O&M)

-1-

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

(211)

CR-2514-2023 (O&M)

Date of decision: - 18.11.2025

Harjit Singh (since deceased) through his LR**....Petitioner****Versus****Maya Devi and another****.....Respondents****CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL**

Present:- Mr. Naveen Gupta, Advocate
for the petitioner.

None for respondent No.1.

Mr. Hemant Bassi, Senior Advocate, with
Mr. Vikas Kumar Sharma, Advocate, and
Ms. Saloni Chhabra, Advocate
for respondent No.2.

VIKAS BAHL, J. (ORAL)

1. Present civil revision petition has been filed under Article 227 of the Constitution of India for setting aside the impugned orders dated 28.03.2023 (Annexure P-10) and 18.04.2023 (Annexure P-11) passed by the Civil Judge (Junior Division), Ambala whereby the issues have been framed on the objections (Annexure P-8) of respondent No.2 dated 02.02.2023 (i.e. third party objections) and the warrant of possession has been recalled.

2. During the course of arguments, learned senior counsel for



CR-2514-2023 (O&M)

-2-

respondent No.2 has submitted that the impugned orders be set aside and the objections filed by respondent No.2 be dismissed with liberty to respondent No.2 to move appropriate application/institute proceedings in accordance with law.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that he has no objection to the said course of action but in case respondent No.2 takes any remedy, then, liberty be granted to the petitioner to raise all pleas in accordance with law.

4. Keeping in view the above-said facts and circumstances and the stand taken on behalf of respondent No.2, the present revision petition is allowed and the impugned orders are set aside and the objections filed by respondent No.2 (Annexure P-8) are dismissed. Liberty is granted to respondent No.2 to institute proceedings/move appropriate application in accordance with law. In case any such proceedings/application are instituted/filed, then, it would be open to the petitioner to raise all pleas to oppose the same as are available to him in law, as this Court has not opined on the maintainability or otherwise of the said proceedings/application.

(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE

November 18, 2025
naresh.k

Whether reasoned/speaking?
Whether reportable?

Yes/No
Yes/No