



210 **IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-18420-2025

Date of Decision:09.07.2025

Avtar Singh

...Petitioner

vs.

State of Punjab

...Respondent

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice N.S.Shekhawat

Present : Mr. Gurpreet Singh, Advocate
 for the petitioner.

Mr. I.P.S. Sabharwal, DAG, Punjab.

N.S.Shekhawat J. (Oral)

1. The petitioner has filed the present petition under Section 482 of B.N.S.S with a prayer to grant anticipatory bail to him in case FIR No.114, dated 29.08.2024, under Sections 25/54/59 of Arms Act and Sections 61(2),111 of B.N.S and under Section 27-A of NDPS Act,(Sections 25(6), 25(7) of Arms Act added later on) registered at Police Station Sirhali, District Tarn Taran (Annexure P-1).

2. While granting the concession of interim anticipatory bail by this Court on 04.04.2025, this Court had noticed the following contentions raised by learned counsel for the petitioner:-

“Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that Harpreet Singh @ Happy and Lovepreet Singh, both co-accused were arrested in the present case and the alleged recovery was effected from them only. Learned counsel for the petitioner has relied upon the orders (Annexures P-3 and P-4) passed by this Court, whereby Harpreet Singh @ Happy and Lovepreet Singh have been granted the concession of bail by this Court. He further contends that the

petitioner has been nominated as an accused in the present case on the basis of the disclosure statement suffered by Akashdeep Singh @ Akash, co-accused and the admissibility of such statement is yet to be decided by the Trial Court. Apart from that, there is no other legally admissible evidence against the petitioner and he is ready to join the investigation.”

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner has reiterated the submissions and further submits that the petitioner has joined the investigation and his custodial interrogation may not be required.

4. On the other hand, learned State counsel also submits that the petitioner has joined the investigation and is no longer required for further investigation.

5. In view of the above statement made by learned counsel for the parties, the interim order dated 04.04.2025 is made absolute. The petitioner shall continue to join the investigation, as and when called by the Investigating Officer. The petitioner shall also abide by the conditions as specified under Section 482 (2) of B.N.S.S.

6. Pending application(s) stand(s) also disposed of.

(N.S.SHEKHAWAT)
JUDGE

09.07.2025
hemlata

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No