



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRWP-3327-2025

DATE OF DECISION: 03.04.2025

DIVYA KUMAI AND ANOTHER ...PETITIONERS

Versus

UT OF CHANDIGARH AND OTHERS

... RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANDEEP MOUDGIL

Present: None for the petitioner(s).

Mr. Tapan Masta, APP, UT Chandigarh.

SANDEEP MOUDGIL, J (ORAL)

This petition has been filed under Articles 226 of the Constitution of India for issuance of a writ in the nature of Mandamus, directing the respondents No. 2 & 3 not to harass the petitioners at the instance of respondent Nos. 4 to 6 as the petitioners having married against the wishes of private respondents, with further prayer to direct the respondents no. 2 & 3 to protect the lives and liberty of the petitioners and the family members of petitioner No. 2.

Learned counsel for UT Chandigarh informs the Court that the petitioners were not found at the address given in the Memo of Parties. He submits that the marriage of the petitioners was solemnized at Panchkula whereas both the petitioners belong to Uttarakhand. He further submits that statement of one 'Roshni' who is

stated to be resident of H. No. 248, Khuda Ali Sher, Chandigarh i.e. the address given of the petitioners in the Memo of Parties, has been recorded by ASI Sushil Kumar to the extent that the petitioners never were the residents of this address.

Today, counsel for the petitioners is also absent to assist the Court and his absence is sufficient to infer that actually there is any threat apprehension at this stage.

In view of the above discussion, the petition stands dismissed being devoid of merits.

(SANDEEP MOUDGIL)
JUDGE

03.04.2025
anuradha

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether reportable</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>