



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

123

CRM-M-18546-2025
Date of decision: 23.07.2025

ASHOK KUMAR

....Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA AND ANOTHER

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY VASHISTH

Present : Mr. D.S. Matya, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Kanwar Sanjiv Kumar, AAG, Haryana

SANJAY VASHISTH. J.(Oral)

1. Present petition under Sections 528 BNSS, 2023 has been filed for quashing of impugned FIR No.533 dated 08.11.2022, under Sections 186, 332, 333, 353, 506 of IPC, registered at P.S. Pataudi, District Gurugram, along with all consequential proceedings in view of the compromise deed dated 11.02.2025.
2. Though it has been contended in the petition that compromise has been effected with respondent No.2 but despite the compromise, petitioner has never succeeded in securing the presence of said respondent.
3. Matter was taken up on 05.04.2025, 23.04.2025, 09.05.2025, 28.05.2025 and 04.07.2025 and even today, though petitioner has appeared but no one is there to represent respondent No.2.
4. Learned counsel for the petitioner informs that earlier also he has filed the petition but there was no representation on behalf of respondent No.2 to endorse the factum of compromise and therefore the petition filed at the first instance i.e. CRM-M-9450-2025 was withdrawn with liberty to file the same afresh with better particulars. Hence instant petition is the second compromise

quashing petition.

5. In view of the fact that petitioner has failed to get the compromise endorsed from respondent No.2, there is no point in continuing with the present quashing petition.

6. Accordingly, the instant petition is **dismissed**. However, still liberty is there with the petitioner to file the compromise quashing petition, if any fresh cause of action survives.

23.07.2025
amandeep

(SANJAY VASHISTH)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned.	:	Yes/No
Whether Reportable.	:	Yes/No